## Results and Updates from Vermonter Poll2009:

Household computers and Interret connectivity are matched, broadband connections are risingand the digital divide is evolving.

Measuring Computer Ownership and Internet Connectivity with the Vermonter Poll

For more than a decade, thermonter Polhas collected data on computer ownership and Internet connectivitymong Vermont households. Overall the percentage of households with computers has held steady ju

computers. The 2009 poll data continue **thoto** this trend (see Table 1). Table 1 also shows that lower income households are **mlesh** likely to have Internet connections, regardless of having a computer.

Table 1. Percent of Vermont households thatave a computer, an Internet connection or a broadband connection by household income, 2009.

| Household Income     | % with<br>Computer | % with Internet (regardless of computer) | % with<br>Broadband<br>(vs. dial-up) |
|----------------------|--------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| Less than \$25,000   | 44.0               | 44.1                                     | 73.3                                 |
| \$25,000 - \$50,000  | 79.4               | 75.8                                     | 77.3                                 |
| \$50,000 - \$75,000  | 92.6               | 89.9                                     | 83.2                                 |
| \$75,000 - \$100,000 | 100.0*             | 97.6                                     | 80.5                                 |
| More than \$100,000  | 97.0               | 92.9                                     | 91.3                                 |

N<sub>computer</sub> = 512, N<sub>hternet</sub> = 498, N<sub>broadband</sub> = 408

Source: University of Vermont Center for Rural Studies, Vermonter Poll 2009.

Note: \*Results of 100% shouldbe interpreted in light of the Vermonter Polls margin of

error of +/-4%.

suburban households with Internet are nowerlikely to have Internet and broadband than urban or rural (see Table 2).

The lower rate of broadband connections uiral areas makes sense in light of the challenges thus far in securing high-speed legtean ailability in less dense areas of the State. However, by that logic, the urbate rewould be the highest, not in the middle. Service maps show that Vermont's urban a rease more broadband services available. It could be that the higher rate of low come households (especially those less than \$25,000) in urban areas could how a downward effect on the adoption of Internet and broadband in these areas (see Table 1).

Of all polled households without high-speetelmet or with no connection at all, 45.8% stated that broadband service was availableem. Suburban households were more likely to say yes (50%), while rural households were more likely to say no (43.2%). Urban households were least likely to say (42.9%), but also had a large proportion that did not know (28.6%).

Measuring Public Acceptance of Broadband Funding with the ermonter Poll

In 2007, the Vermonter Polfound that the majority of households without computers or Internet did not want them at all. However majority of households with a computer and Internet connection without broadbatid respond that they wanted high-speed Internet to be made available to the Overall many organizations, policy-makers, and private citizens have come forward duriting course of the decade to proclaim the economic and social benefits of univalir broadband availability in Vermont.

Assorted local, regional and statewide effort bridge the rural rban broadband divide are in various stages of organization, attitand success. Most of these efforts – Burlington Telecom and the East Cehtrarmont Community Fiber Network, for example – require the commitment of local nicipal resources, ionarily through bonds for infrastructure development. The 2007 rmonter Pollfound a majority (58%) of respondents in support of tallocation of State funds ward universal broadband. However only a minority (36%) was in favor the use of murcipal funds for the development of broadband infrastructure.

In 2008 the Vermonter Pollasked more focused questions about the favorability of respondents toward the use of local resource work "alone or in partnership with other municipalities to develop high-epd Internet infrastructure, such as data lines or wireless transmitters, so that companies may use three lines high-speed Internet connections to local residents and businesses." This is nino line with the types of efforts underway today. The understanding is that any municinvestment eventually would be paid back through fees charged for the work the new infrastructure.

A vast majority of respondents (77%) were awar of having their city or town involved in such an effort. When the question was used to ask if respondents were in favor of

an effort exclusively in fiberoptic infrastrure, much faster the typical broadband, a majority (73%) said yes once asig. When looking at the data on the viewpoint of the rural-urban divide, there was discernible different in support for local efforts overall, but more rural households (74%) with suburbathe middle. Overall the local effort concepts had much more support in households. Overall the local effort concepts had much more support in households computers and Internet than in those that did not, although there was majoritypport amongst both. Whether or not a connected household had broadband or united to have an effect.

## Methodology

Much of the data used inishreport was collected by the the for Rural Studies at the University of Vermont as part of the 20th monter Poll The poll was conducted between the hours of 4:00 p.m. and 9:00. phenginning on February 18, 2009 and ending on February 27, 2009. The telephone polling was conducted from the University of Vermont using computer-aided telephone invitewing (CATI). A random sample for the poll was drawn from a list of Vermont telephone numbers, which is updated quarterly and included listed and unlisted telephone hers. Cellular phone numbers were not included in the sampling frame. According the most recent estimates, 5.1 percent of Vermont households have at least one less cellular phone, but landline telephone. As a state, Vermont has the lowest level wifeless-only households in the country. Only Vermont residents over the age of the were interviewed. The poll included questions on a variety of issu

## Poll Questions

Is there a personal computer or laptop in your home?

- 1.Yes
- 2.No
- 3.Don't Know
- 4.Refused

What type of Internet connection do you have at home?

- 1.None
- 2.Dial up modem (using phone lines, 56K, 28.8K)
- 3.Cable Modem
- 4.DSL (Digital Subscriber Line using phone lines, faster than dial-up)
- 5. Satellite Internet service
- 6. Wireless Internet service coming in from outside your home
- 7. Fiberoptic
- 8.Other (specify)
- 9.Don't know
- 10.Refused

You may have heard of the term broadband, which is another name for a high-speed Internet connection. Broadband or high-speed Internet are defined as anything faster than aldip telephone Internet connection.

At this point in time, is any high-speed Internet service available to your home, if you wanted to be connected?

- 1.Yes
- 2.No
- 3.Don't Know
- 4.Refused